

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/708,163	11/07/2000	Ji Zhang	CISCP194/3541	1039	
22434 75	90 06/15/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			PHILIPPE	PHILIPPE, GIMS S	
P.O. BOX 70250 OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2613		
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005	DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/708,163	ZHANG ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Gims S. Philippe	2613		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE - External after - If the control of the contro	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a representation of the provision of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory perion into the provision of the provision	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01</u>	January 1933.			
·	•	is action is non-final.			
3)□					
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Applicati	ion Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen		_			
2) Notic Notic Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	4)			

Application/Control Number: 09/708,163 Page 2

Art Unit: 2613

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 23, 2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7-10, 13-17, 19-20, 22, 24-28, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGraw, Sr. et al. (US Patent no. 5,577,042) in view of Isnardi (US Patent no. 6687384).

Regarding claims 1, 18, 23, 30, 31, and 32 McGraw discloses a method and network device for providing first compressed video data unto a network (See Abstract and Fig. 1); comprising an embedder apparatus having a first embedder portion in a transmission bitstream (See McGraw col. 2, lines 57-67, col. 3, lines 1-20); and a

Art Unit: 2613

transmitter that transmits the transmission bitstream, the transmission bitstream including first compressed video data having the first compressed format (See col. 3, lines 25-41).

It is noted that McGraw is silent about embedding first compressed video data having a first compressed format in a transmission bitstream having a second compressed format as specified in the claims.

Isnardi discloses embedding first compressed video data having a first compressed format in a transmission bitstream having a second compressed format (See Isnardi col. 3, lines 38-63, col. 4, lines 5-47).

Therefore, it is considered obvious that one skilled in the art at the time of the invention would recognize the advantage of embedding first compressed video data having a first compressed format in a transmission bitstream having a second compressed format. The skilled artisan would be motivated to look to Isnardi to incorporate its teachings in McGraw's network device. The motivation for performing such a modification in McGraw is to enable send embedded compressed video information to a wide range of users as taught by Isnardi (See col. 12, lines 51-67)

As per claims 2, 5, 7-10, 20, 22, 24, 25, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the above rejections of claims 1, 18, 23, 30 and 31. In addition, because McGraw discloses directing inputs of different signal formats to at least one application subsystem by directing the input signal to different output ports according to the information embedded in the signal in real time, it is considered inherent that providing a

Art Unit: 2613

plurality of format converter is a must (See McGraw col. 3, lines 16-23, col. 22, lines 40-65, col. 26, lines 64-67, and col. 42, lines 48-67).

As per claims 14 and 19, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the above rejections of claims 1 and 18. In addition, McGraw further provides the claimed network interface in col. 44, lines 46-49.

As per claim 15, the broadcast and presentation system of fig. 1, item 10 is the claimed "headend" (See McGraw col. 4, lines 43-46).

As per claims 16-17 and 26, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the above rejection of claim 14. In addition, McGraw device further provides a memory for storing the bitstream and a general-purpose computer (See McGraw col. 24, lines 27-55).

As per claim 13, the bit rate converter is suggested in MCGraw col. 5, lines 3-6.

As per claims 3-4, 27, 28, a second compressed bitstream in a second format is suggested in McGraw col. 9, lines 43-67.

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGraw, Sr. et al. (US Patent no. 5,577,042) and Isnardi (US Patent no. 6687384) as applied to claim 5, and further in view of Lankford (US Patent no. 5,467.139).

Art Unit: 2613

As per claim 6, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the above rejection of claim 5.

It is noted that McGraw is silent about a scheduler comprised in the embedder as specified in claim 6.

However, Lankford discloses a device and network for providing compressed video data including the step of providing a scheduler comprised in the embedder (See Lankford col. 2, lines 41-50).

Therefore, it is considered obvious that one skilled in the art at the time of the invention would recognize the advantage of modifying McGraw's embedder by incorporating Lankford's scheduler in the device and network for providing compressed video data. The motivation for performing such a modification in McGraw is to operate the system according to a predetermined schedule as taught by Lankford (See Lankford col.2, lines 45-47).

5. Claims 11-12, 21, 29, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGraw Sr. et al. (US Patent no. 5,577,042) and Isnardi (US Patent no. 6687384) as applied to claims 1, 19 and 28 above, and further in view of Wee et al. (US Patent no. 6,507,618).

As per claims 11-12, 21, 29, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the above rejection of claims 1, 19 and 28.

It is noted that McGraw is silent about providing compressed video data wherein the format is either one of wavelet, fractal, H.26X, Real Network, MPEG-1, MPEG-2, MPEG-4 as specified.

However, Wee discloses a device and network for providing compressed video data including the step of providing compressed video data wherein the format is either one of wavelet, fractal, H.26X, Real Network, MPEG-1, MPEG-2, MPEG-4 (See Wee col. 7, lines 27).

Therefore, it is considered obvious that one skilled in the art at the time of the invention would recognize the advantage of modifying McGraw embedder by incorporating compressed video data wherein the format is either one of wavelet, fractal, H.26X, Real Network, MPEG-1, MPEG-2, MPEG-4. The motivation for performing such a modification in McGraw is to be able to support a wide variety of systems, including video recording, video editing, cable and satellite service providers as taught by Wee (See Wee col. 7, lines 21-31).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gims S. Philippe whose telephone number is (571) 272-7336. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-7:00) Second Monday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris S. Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/708,163

Art Unit: 2613

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gims S Philippe Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2613

GSP

June 13, 2005